05-02-06

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Application Number	10/525,268		
		Filing Date	08/23/2005	_	
		First Named Inventor Andreas Poppe			
		Art Unit	1713		
		Examiner Name	ни	٦	
		Attorney Docket Number	PAT-01050 (0906S-401)		
	ENCL	OSURES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		

		ENCLUSU	HES (cneck all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal F	-om	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)					
Fee Attached	ı	Licensing-r	elated Papers		peal Communication to Board of opeals and Interferences				
Amendment / Rep	ply	Petition			ppeal Communication to TC ppeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			oprietary Information				
Affidavits/dec	claration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			atus Letter				
Extension of Time	e Request	Terminal D	isclaimer		ther Enclosure(s) ease identify below):				
Express Abandor	nment Request	Request for CD, Number	r Refund er of CD(s)		Reply to Restriction Requirement and Return Postcard				
☐ Information Disclo	osure Statement								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 23-3425. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.							
Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53									
	SIGNA	TURE OF APP	LICANT, ATTORNEY, O	R AGE	NT				
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey &	Pierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name Anna M. Budde		Reg. No. 35,085				
Signature	Signature Anna M Budde								
Date	May 1, 2006								
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Anna M. Budde	Express Mail Label No.	EV 853 856 216 US (5/1/2006)
Signature	Conna m Budde	Date	May 1, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/525,268

Filing Date:

August 23, 2005

Applicant:

Andreas Poppe et al.

Group Art Unit:

1713

Examiner:

Henry S. Hu

Title:

Nanoparticles, Method for Modifying Their Surfaces,

Dispersion of Nanoparticles, Method for the Production

and the Utilization Thereof

Attorney Docket:

BASF Docket No. PAT-01050

Harness, Dickey & Pierce Docket No. 906-401

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed April 10, 2006 in which a restriction requirement was made, Applicants respectfully elect the invention of Group I, to which claims 1-11, 20-24, 31, and 32 are directed.

Applicants respectfully make these elections with traverse of the restriction requirement..

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement between the inventions of Group I and Group II. Regarding the restriction requirement between the inventions of Group I and Group II, the Office Action states that in Group I the modifier

compound may cover the nanoparticles only physically, which Group II requires a chemical coupling reaction. Applicants respectfully point out that each of modifying groups (A), (B), and (C) is claimed as being "attached covalently to the surface via at least one linking functional group." Thus, the Office's interpretation of the claims of Group I is incorrect; the coverage must involve colvalent attachment.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement between the inventions of Group I and Group III. The Office Action states that the nanoparticles of claim 1 and the dispersion containing the nanoparticles of claim 1 do not share special technical features under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2. In fact, the claims of Group III incorporate all of the limitations of claim 1, the independent claim in Group I. The Office Action alleges that there is no special technical feature because no claim is patentable over the prior art, but this has not been shown and makes the restriction requirement (at best) premature.

Moreover, Applicants respectfully assert that restriction between the combination of Group III and subcombination of Group I requires both two-way distinctness and reasons for insisting on restriction. Two-way distinctness has not been shown, and the discussion in the Office Action of special technical features demonstrates that there is no serious search burden in examining both groups of claims.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement between the inventions of Group I and Group IV for the same reasons as they traversed the restriction requirement between the inventions of Groups I and III. The invention of Group IV incorporates all of the features of claim 1. Further, Group I and Group IV are

ا لاس

related as combination/subcombination, and neither two-way distinctness nor serious search burden have been shown.

Group I has been elected with traverse. Applicants respectfully submit that the restriction requirement must be withdrawal of the for the above reasons. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Anna M. Budde

Registration No. 35,085

May 1, 2006 Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600